

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

	)	CASE NO.
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Judge John R. Adams
	)	
	)	REPORT OF PARTIES' PLANNING
	)	MEETING UNDER FED. R. CIV.
Defendant.	)	P. 26(f) AND LR 16.3(b)(3)

1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and LR 16:3(b)(3), a meeting was held on

\_\_\_\_\_ and was attended by:

\_\_\_\_\_ counsel for plaintiff(s) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ counsel for plaintiff(s) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ counsel for defendant(s) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ counsel for defendant(s) \_\_\_\_\_

2. The parties:

\_\_\_ have not been required to make initial disclosures.

\_\_\_ have exchanged the pre-discovery disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P.  
26(a)(1) and the Court's prior order.

☐ Expedited
 ☐ Standard  
☐ Administrative
 ☐ Complex  
☐ Mass Tort

4. This case is suitable for one or more of the following Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") mechanisms:

- \_\_\_\_\_ Early Neutral Evaluation
- \_\_\_\_\_ Mediation
- \_\_\_\_\_ Arbitration
- \_\_\_\_\_ Summary Jury Trial
- \_\_\_\_\_ Summary Bench Trial
- \_\_\_\_\_ Case not suitable for ADR

5. The parties \_\_\_\_do/\_\_\_\_do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

## 6. Recommended Discovery Plan:

(a) Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature and extent of discovery.

---

(b) Discovery cut-off date: \_\_\_\_\_

7. Recommended dispositive motion date: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Recommended cut-off date for amending the pleadings and/or adding additional parties: \_\_\_\_\_

9. Recommended date for a Status Hearing: \_\_\_\_\_

10. Other matters for the attention of the Court:

---

---

---

---

\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Plaintiff(s) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Attorney for Plaintiff(s) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Defendant(s) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Attorney for Defendant(s) \_\_\_\_\_